

OGC 72-1829

11 December 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED] SA/D/DCI/IC

STATINTL

SUBJECT : Draft DCIDs

STATINTL

As requested at the last meeting of the designees, [REDACTED] as Acting General Counsel, has considered the draft DCIDs prepared by the designees, together with the proposed Tweedy and Colby memoranda and the alternative proposals for numbering and indexing the DCIDs. We have no additional comments on the individual DCIDs and we understand the numbering and indexing problem will be considered at another meeting of the designees. We have the following observations concerning the memoranda and related points.

a. It is not feasible to consider and understand the Tweedy and Colby memoranda without also considering the substance of a memorandum to Mr. Tweedy transmitting the work of the designees and explaining to him the proposed memoranda. Would it not be well to prepare a [REDACTED]-to-Tweedy memorandum which would report and conclude the designees' work?

b. It might be in order to suggest a Tweedy-to-Director memorandum concerning the DCIDs. Presumably there should be further approval of the DCIDs, by Mr. Tweedy or the Director or both, before negotiations begin.

c. We believe the thrust of the Tweedy memorandum is inappropriate in several respects. First, the negotiation outside CIA of those DCIDs which have been redrafted does not require an advance decision as to the few which have not been redrafted. In beginning the negotiating of the prepared drafts, we would inform all concerned that we do not yet have proposals for a few of the current DCIDs. Secondly, it seems inappropriate to accomplish Agency approval of the DCIDs by procedures which limit the Deputies' participation to that of reviewing and approving only via instructions to their

subordinates, the designees. Rather, they should be permitted to consider the DCIDs in the context of meetings or discussions with their peers and in reporting their views to the Executive Director and the Director rather than to a committee composed of their subordinates. Thirdly, we question the propriety of recommending to the Executive Director how he will undertake to have a compartmentation manual prepared, assuming he decides to do so. Such a proposal seems outside the charter of a committee whose function it is to revise DCIDs. And in any event, in view of the work done by the [] committee in 1971 and early 1972, which produced a report on compartmentation systems, the proposal seems a costly and time-consuming exercise. And finally, the proposal in paragraph 4 of the Colby memorandum that the task group would complete its work by 1 June would seem to be at best a guess.

[]
Associate General Counsel

cc: Mr. Herbert J. Jenne, DDI
[]

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP79-00632A000100030008-9

Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP79-00632A000100030008-9